

# COMBINATORIAL NULLSTELLENSATZ

SHAMIL ASGARLI

I learnt the following proof from Corrine Yap, who kindly shared it with me when I was teaching a week-long minicourse on this topic during MathILy 2019. I am also grateful to Brian Freidin who helped me understand the proof better. The purpose of this document is to fill in all the details carefully.

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a field, and  $F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Suppose that the monomial  $x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$  appears with a non-zero coefficient in  $F$  such that  $d_1 + \cdots + d_n = d$  is the maximum degree among all the monomials in  $F$ . Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be finite subsets of  $K$  such that  $|S_i| > d_i$  for each  $i$ . Then there exists  $a_i \in S_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* We begin by proving a lemma regarding polynomials of one variable. In particular, the lemma proves the result when  $n = 1$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $S \subset K$  be a finite subset such that  $s := |S| > d$ . Write  $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ . There exist constants  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  in  $K$  (depending only on  $S$ ) such that for every polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i f(u_i) \neq 0$$

In particular,  $f(u_i) \neq 0$  for some  $u_i \in S$ .

*Proof of the lemma.* In fact, we will show that a stronger conclusion holds: we will prove existence of  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s \in K$  such that for every polynomial  $f(x) = c_d x^d + \cdots + c_1 x + c_0$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i f(u_i) = c_d \neq 0$$

The idea of extracting the leading coefficient will be beneficial when we extend the result for polynomials in many variables. As for the proof, consider the matrix

$$A_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_s \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_1^m & u_2^m & \cdots & u_s^m \end{bmatrix}$$

defined for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . To prove the lemma, it suffices to find  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  such that

$$A_d \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{s-1} \\ \beta_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_s \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_1^{d-1} & u_2^{d-1} & \cdots & u_s^{d-1} \\ u_1^d & u_2^d & \cdots & u_s^d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{s-1} \\ \beta_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Indeed, if  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^s$  satisfies the condition above, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i f(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \sum_{j=0}^d c_j u_i^j = \sum_{j=0}^d c_j \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i u_i^j = c_d$$

since  $\sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i u_i^j = 0$  for all  $0 \leq j < d$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i u_i^d = 1$  by construction. Note that  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  gives rise to a vector  $\mathbf{b} = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s]^T$  such that  $\mathbf{b} \in \ker(A_{d-1})$  but  $\mathbf{b} \notin \ker(A_d)$ . Conversely, any element in  $\ker(A_{d-1}) \setminus \ker(A_d)$  gives rise to, possibly after scaling, a vector  $\mathbf{b} = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s]^T$  satisfying the above properties. The task has been reduced to demonstrating that  $\ker(A_d) \subsetneq \ker(A_{d-1})$ . Note that the last row of  $A_d$  is not in the span of first  $d$  rows, because otherwise such a linear dependence would produce a non-zero polynomial of degree  $d$  that vanishes at  $s$  distinct points  $u_1, \dots, u_s$  which is impossible as  $s > d$ . It follows that  $\text{rank}(A_d) > \text{rank}(A_{d-1})$ . Viewing  $A_d : K^s \rightarrow K^{d+1}$  and  $A_{d-1} : K^s \rightarrow K^d$  as linear transformations, the rank-nullity theorem implies that  $\dim \ker(A_d) < \dim \ker(A_{d-1})$ .  $\square$

We can rephrase the content of the lemma as follows. There exists a function  $\beta : S \rightarrow K$  such that

$$\sum_{s \in S} \beta(s) s^j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = d \\ 0 & \text{if } j < d \end{cases}$$

To prove the theorem, consider the sets  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  in the hypothesis. For each  $S_i$ , use the lemma above to construct  $\beta^{(i)} : S_i \rightarrow K$ . Now define  $\alpha : S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow K$  by assigning for each  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ ,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{s}) := \beta^{(1)}(s_1) \beta^{(2)}(s_2) \dots \beta^{(n)}(s_n)$$

We claim that for each monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{j}} = x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n}$  with  $j_1 + \dots + j_n \leq d$ ,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n} \alpha(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{j}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_i = d_i \text{ for every } i \\ 0 & \text{if } j_i < d_i \text{ for at least one } i \end{cases}$$

Indeed, assume  $j_i = d_i$  for every  $i$ . Then the right hand side becomes:

$$\sum_{s_1 \in S_1} \sum_{s_2 \in S_2} \dots \sum_{s_n \in S_n} \beta^{(1)}(s_1) \dots \beta^{(n)}(s_n) s_1^{d_1} \dots s_n^{d_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{s \in S_i} \beta^{(i)}(s) s^{d_i} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n 1 = 1$$

If  $j_i < d_i$  for some  $i$ , then  $\sum_{s \in S_i} \beta^{(i)}(s) s^{d_i} = 0$  for that value of  $i$ , so the product in the last displayed equation is zero, and the claim is proved.

As a consequence,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n} \alpha(\mathbf{s}) F(\mathbf{s}) = c_{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \neq 0$$

where  $c_{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}$  is the coefficient of the monomial  $x_1^{d_1} \dots x_n^{d_n}$  appearing in  $F$ . In particular, there exists a choice of  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$  for which  $F(\mathbf{s}) \neq 0$ , as desired.  $\square$